Section 2. What “Geometry” Means Without Spacetime

This section fixes one of the deepest vocabulary traps in modern foundational physics. In standard usage, the word geometry is almost inseparable from a coordinate space or manifold equipped with additional structure such as a metric, connection, or curvature. Once that association is accepted, geometry silently implies a pre-existing stage.

CUWF rejects that implication. In Paper A-13, geometry is not the stage. Geometry is an output-description of a deeper relational ordering process. It is the stable encoding of how reality becomes mutually accessible after collapse has selected persistent relational pathways. What later appears as distance, angle, and metric is therefore not primitive content, but a summary language extracted from stabilized relational order.

2.1 Geometry as Relational Order, Not Coordinate Space

To define geometry without spacetime, the first step is to remove coordinates from the definition itself. Instead of asking where an object is located in space, CUWF asks a prior question: what is accessible from what, and at what structural cost under collapse constraints?

In this framework, the most primitive geometric content is a relational accessibility structure. It may later admit graph-like, continuous, or manifold-like representation in appropriate regimes, but none of those forms is assumed at the start. Geometry begins from how states relate, not from where they sit in an a priori container.

A compact operational definition is therefore:

Geometry = the stable, reproducible ordering of relational accessibility among collapsed states.

This definition contains three inseparable pillars. First, relation: a state S_i is linked to a state S_j only if a physically meaningful transition or coupling can occur between them. This is a statement of

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

connectability, not coordinate difference. Second, accessibility: not all relations are equally easy, stable, or permitted. Accessibility measures how reachable a transition is under coherence thresholds, compatibility conditions, and entropic barriers. Third, ordering: geometry appears only when these accessibility patterns become stable enough that pathways can be ranked consistently—for example, nearer versus farther, easier versus harder, aligned versus misaligned.

Geometry in CUWF therefore begins as order, not space. A manifold is not assumed. Coordinates are not assumed. Smoothness is not assumed. Continuity is not assumed. All of these may emerge later when relational order becomes sufficiently dense, stable, and compressible.

This inversion is decisive. Standard geometry begins with points and equips them with structure. CUWF begins with collapse-selected states and persisting relational constraints, and only afterward allows coordinate language to arise as a convenience.

2.2 Projection versus Substrate

This distinction is the conceptual hinge of Paper A-13. CUWF uses the term projection in a precise sense. A projection is a description-layer that satisfies three conditions: it is derived from deeper dynamics, it remains stable and predictive within a regime, and it compresses high-dimensional relational complexity into a lower-dimensional representation that is easier to use.

In this language, a projection is not false. It is regime-valid but non-fundamental. It tracks deeper structure reliably while that deeper structure remains sufficiently stable.

Geometry belongs to the projection layer because it does not generate relational accessibility; it summarizes it. Geometry appears only after collapse has produced stable pathways and repeatable relational order. It can fail when the underlying relational mapping loses stability, as happens near boundary regimes.

The substrate, by contrast, is relational collapse dynamics itself: the selection of stable pathways, the closure of incompatible alternatives, and the generation of persistent accessibility structure. The substrate does not live in space. Space is the representational format that emerges when the substrate becomes dense, stable, and recordable enough to support it.

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

A useful comparison is that of a shadow. A shadow may track an object with high fidelity under stable conditions. It can be measured, modeled, and predicted. Yet it is not the object itself. In the same way, geometry tracks relational collapse structure with great reliability in coherent regimes, but geometry is not the fundamental content of reality.

2.3 Minimal Requirements for Geometry

Once geometry is detached from spacetime, the question becomes: what must exist for geometry to emerge at all? CUWF requires only a small set of primitives.

First, there must be a set of distinguishable collapse-selected states. These are not points in space, but stable identifiable configurations within the relational field. Second, there must be a nontrivial relational rule: some physically meaningful connectability or transition structure linking one state to another under constraints. Third, there must be sufficient stability. Geometry cannot be defined from one-off relations; relations must persist across enough collapse cycles to become structurally reproducible. Fourth, there must be an accessibility measure—a way to compare pathways so that some are effectively easier or harder than others. This is the seed of distance. Fifth, there must be a coherence threshold that suppresses arbitrary relational noise strongly enough for stable ordering to appear.

From these primitives, the familiar geometric variables can later be reconstructed. Distance becomes minimum accessibility cost between states. Angle becomes a measure of compatibility between directional relational pathways. Metric structure becomes a coarse-grained encoding of relational accessibility density.

This also clarifies what geometry does not require. It does not require a primitive spacetime manifold. It does not require a primitive metric tensor. It does not require a primitive clock or time-parameter. In CUWF, these are late-stage descriptive compressions, not foundational inputs.

The inversion may therefore be written succinctly: standard physics tends to assume spacetime ⟶ metric ⟶ dynamics, whereas CUWF begins from collapse-relational dynamics ⟶ accessibility order ⟶ geometry ⟶ metric as summary.

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

2.4 Formal CUWF Definitions for Geometry Without Spacetime

Let $\Omega = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n\}$ denote the set of collapsed relational states. Each S_i is not a point in space, but a node representing a post-collapse selected configuration.

Define the accessibility kernel

$$A : \Omega \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$$

where $A(S_i, S_j)$ is the relational accessibility cost: the minimum structural cost required to connect S_i to S_j under collapse-coherence constraints. If no stable pathway exists, then $A(S_i, S_j) = \infty$.

Let Π_{ij} be the set of all possible pathways from S_i to S_j. Each pathway $p \in \Pi_{ij}$ carries a collapse cost

$$C(p) = \Sigma_k \Delta\Omega_k$$

where $\Delta\Omega_k$ represents the entropic disturbance or configurational transition burden between consecutive collapse nodes.

CUWF distance is then defined as the minimum accessibility cost across admissible pathways:

$$d(S_i, S_j) = \text{min over } p \in \Pi_{ij} \text{ of } C(p)$$

This is the central move of Paper A-13: distance is not primitive geometric length. Distance is the minimum accessibility cost under collapse constraints.

For three states S_i, S_j, S_k, angle is not defined through pre-given vectors but through relational compatibility among pathways:

$$\theta_{(i,j,k)} \propto \Phi(\Pi_{ij}, \Pi_{jk})$$

where Φ measures shared collapse structure and accessibility-gradient coherence. High pathway compatibility corresponds to small effective angle; incompatible pathways correspond to large relational bending.

Local accessibility density around S_i may then be defined by

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

$$\rho(S_i) = \Sigma_j \exp(-A(S_i, S_j))$$

and metric structure appears only as a projection mapping, for example schematically:

$$g_{ij} \approx F(\rho(S_i), \rho(S_j), d(S_i, S_j))$$

The metric is therefore not a primitive tensor waiting at the base of reality. It is a shadow-variable encoding relational density and accessibility curvature after stable ordering has emerged.

2.5 Logical Examples: When Geometry Exists and When It Does Not

Three limit examples clarify the logic of this section.

In a pre-geometry regime, collapse pathways fluctuate too violently. Π_{ij} changes from cycle to cycle, and no stable $d(S_i, S_j)$ can be extracted. The result is not curved spacetime with extreme parameters. It is the absence of geometry in the first place: no stable ordering, no reliable distance, no geometric layer.

In a geometry-emergence regime, collapse pathways stabilize. The accessibility kernel A remains sufficiently invariant across cycles, and the minimum-accessibility relation converges reproducibly. Distance becomes well-defined, relational angle stabilizes, and metric structure becomes coarse-grainable. This is the birth of geometry.

In a boundary-failure regime, such as extreme black-hole or stillness-boundary conditions, accessibility gradients diverge, $A(S_i, S_j)$ tends toward ∞, and compatibility closure fails. Metric structure becomes undefinable. Geometry collapses—not because spacetime reaches a literal singular point, but because the projection layer itself fails.

2.6 Core Claim of Section 2

The result of this section may now be stated cleanly. Geometry is not a coordinate container. Geometry is the stable ordering of relational accessibility created by collapse dynamics.

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

Spacetime, metric, and clock are not required for geometry to exist. They are the shadow-language that appears after geometry has become stable enough to be mistaken for the foundation. Paper A-13 therefore begins not by modifying spacetime, but by removing it from the primitive layer and reconstructing geometry from deeper collapse-relational structure.

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN