

Table of Contents

1) Introduction - Motivation & Scope

- 1.1 The unresolved ontological tension in QFT
- 1.2 CUWF perspective: field as mode population, particle as resonance
- 1.3 QFT as a quasi-linear limit of CUWF
- 1.4 Structure of this paper

2) CUWF Postulates for Quantum Fields

- 2.1 Definition: Entropic Wave Field \mathcal{F}
- 2.2 Fields as Entropic Wave Modes
- 2.3 Collapse-Stabilization Principle
- 2.4 Field Ontology in CUWF: What a Field “Really Is”

3) Mathematical Framework: Mode Space & Entropic Dynamics

- 3.1 Mode Space \mathcal{M} (Hilbert-like but Entropic-Weighted)
- 3.2 Entropic Action Principle
- 3.3 Entropic Laplacian and Field Propagation
- 3.4 Causality Interpretation in CUWF Fields

4) Particle as Stable Collapse Resonance

- 4.1 What is a “Particle” in CUWF?
- 4.2 Resonance Condition
- 4.3 Stability Criteria (Particle Identity)
- 4.4 Particle Properties from Resonance Geometry

5) Quantum Field Operators: CUWF Reinterpretation

- 5.1 Standard QFT Field Operator $\phi(x)$
- 5.2 Creation and Annihilation Operators a^\dagger, a

5.3 Commutation Relations

5.4 Fermions vs Bosons in the CUWF Framework

6) Vacuum under CUWF

6.1 Vacuum \neq Nothing

6.2 Zero-Point Energy Reinterpretation

6.3 Vacuum Polarization

6.4 Vacuum as Entropic Reservoir

7) Interaction: Coupling Between Modes

7.1 Interaction in QFT: Coupling Constants g

7.2 Vertex Interactions

7.3 Gauge Field Meaning

7.4 Example: Electromagnetic Interaction

8) Renormalization as Approximation Artifact

8.1 Why Infinities Appear in QFT

8.2 CUWF Viewpoint: Natural Entropic Cutoff

8.3 Renormalization Group as Effective Mode Coarse-Graining

8.4 Practical Implication: Where QFT Is Expected to Break Down

9) QFT as Effective Theory of CUWF

9.1 Conditions for QFT to Hold

9.2 QFT Emergence

9.3 When QFT Fails

9.4 CUWF Provides Physical Meaning Behind QFT Math

10) Key Predictions / Testable Signatures

10.1 Prediction: Natural UV Regularization Scale

10.2 Prediction: Deviation from QFT at Extreme Entropic Curvature

10.3 Prediction: Particle Identity Drift under Mode Turbulence

10.4 Experimental Domains

11) Discussion: Interpretation Unification

11.1 CUWF Solves “Field vs Particle” Duality

11.2 Measurement Problem Link

11.3 Relation to GR / Gravity in CUWF

12) Conclusion

12.1 Main Thesis Recap

12.2 QFT Recovered as Approximation

12.3 Future Work: CUWF Paper A-20 — CUWF Quantum Vacuum

References

Appendix A — Technical Mapping, Equations, and Glossary

A.1 CUWF ↔ QFT Dictionary

A.2 Minimal Set of Equations

A.3 Glossary

Section 1 Introduction - Motivation & Scope

Modern physics has reached an impressive level of predictive accuracy through Quantum Field Theory (QFT). In its practical form, QFT has become the most successful computational language for particle physics, condensed matter, and the Standard Model. Yet, it remains deeply unsatisfying at the ontological level: it describes how to calculate outcomes with remarkable precision, but it still leaves unanswered what quantum fields “are” in a physically meaningful sense.

The CUWF framework approaches this problem from a different direction. Instead of treating “fields” as fundamental entities defined on spacetime, CUWF proposes that what we call fields are emergent expressions of a deeper structure: an underlying entropic wave mode system. In this view, a field is not a substance spread across space; rather it is a structured population of wave modes — a spectrum of entropic oscillatory degrees of freedom whose organization, coupling, and stability determine what is later interpreted as particles, interactions, and vacuum behavior.

This paper (A-19) formalizes the CUWF interpretation of quantum fields through three main statements:

- 1) Fields are entropic wave modes.
- 2) Particles are stable collapse resonances.
- 3) QFT is an approximation — an effective representation of CUWF mode dynamics under quasi-linear conditions.

These statements may appear philosophical at first glance, but their purpose is highly technical: CUWF aims to replace ambiguity with a structural mechanism. QFT is extremely powerful, yet it often treats foundational objects as mathematically defined operators rather than physically motivated structures. For example, QFT introduces field operators, creation-annihilation operators, commutation relations, and vacuum states as a formal machinery. However, the deeper question remains: why does this machinery work? What physical substrate generates such operator behavior?

Within CUWF, this question is reframed. The core CUWF hypothesis is that the universe is not fundamentally composed of particles and fields, but of interacting wave states — where “gravity,” “time,” “fields,” and “particles” are different regimes of wave-entropic behavior. In this entropic wave universe, stable particle-like objects appear as resonance outcomes: collapse processes that stabilize a subset of modes into persistent configurations.

Thus, CUWF does not reject QFT. Instead, it proposes that QFT should be treated similarly to thermodynamics in relation to statistical mechanics: a highly accurate effective theory that becomes natural once its deeper microstructure is revealed. In the same way that temperature and pressure emerge from molecular statistics, CUWF proposes that field operators and particle states emerge from entropic wave modes and collapse-resonance stabilization.

1.1 The unresolved ontological tension in QFT

To understand the necessity of a CUWF reinterpretation, we must briefly identify the unresolved conceptual tensions that persist even in standard field theory:

- The vacuum problem: vacuum is not “nothing,” yet QFT calculations imply enormous vacuum energy density, and require renormalization procedures to handle infinities.
- Particle ontology: particles appear and disappear; they are treated as excitations of fields, yet fields are still described as operator-valued abstractions.
- Renormalization and ultraviolet behavior: infinities arise not only from technical issues but from the deeper assumption that interactions occur at a mathematical point with arbitrarily high frequency degrees of freedom.
- Measurement and collapse: QFT does not naturally integrate “collapse” as a physical mechanism; it is treated as a separate interpretational layer.

CUWF identifies these tensions as symptoms of a missing underlying structure. The problem is not that QFT is wrong — the problem is that QFT begins from an incomplete ontology. It assumes the field is

fundamental, but cannot explain the field's physical substrate. It treats particles as field excitations, yet cannot explain why excitations behave as stable, quantized, identity-preserving objects.

CUWF's solution is to shift the "fundamental layer" deeper: before field, before particle, there exists a mode space whose dynamics are entropic in nature.

1.2 CUWF perspective: field as mode population, particle as resonance

In CUWF language, a quantum field is interpreted as an organized spectrum of entropic wave modes. A mode is not merely a Fourier component; it is a dynamically weighted degree of freedom whose stability depends on entropic curvature, coupling compatibility, and collapse constraints.

A "particle" then becomes a special state within this system — a stable collapse resonance. It is not a point in space; it is a coherent wave structure maintained by persistent mode-locking.

This resonance-centered picture immediately offers a physically meaningful answer to one of the deepest questions in QFT:

Q: What is a particle?

A: A particle is a resonance identity stabilized by collapse dynamics.

Particle and field are not separate categories, but two interpretations of the same entropic wave mode infrastructure.

1.3 QFT as a quasi-linear limit of CUWF

CUWF proposes that QFT is not "the deepest level," but a mathematically convenient approximation that becomes valid when entropic curvature is weak and collapse turbulence is small — a regime CUWF calls the quasi-linear entropic mode regime.

In this regime:

- mode coupling becomes approximately perturbative
- resonance formation can be approximated as “creation operator”
- resonance dissolution can be approximated as “annihilation operator”
- field propagation becomes approximately linear and can be represented with propagators
- interactions can be represented with Feynman diagrams as resonance-transition bookkeeping

Therefore, CUWF does not discard QFT. Instead, it gives QFT a physical meaning by locating it within a broader wave-entropic ontology.

1.4 Structure of this paper

This paper proceeds as follows:

- Section 2 introduces the CUWF postulates for quantum fields and defines fields as entropic wave modes.
- Section 3 develops the mathematical formalism of mode space and entropic field dynamics.
- Section 4 defines particles as stable collapse resonances and derives how particle properties arise from resonance geometry.
- Section 5 provides a reinterpretation of QFT operators (field operators and creation-annihilation operators) in CUWF terms.
- Section 6 revisits the vacuum under CUWF as an entropic reservoir rather than empty space.
- Section 7 reformulates interactions as mode coupling rather than fundamental force exchange.
- Section 8 discusses renormalization and ultraviolet divergences as approximation artifacts.



- Section 9 concludes by positioning QFT as an effective theory emerging from CUWF dynamics and proposes testable consequences.