Section 10. Conclusion

This paper has developed a deterministic entropic-geometric account of quantum tunneling within the framework of the Chayut Universe Wave Function (CUWF). In contrast to the standard quantum-mechanical picture, which successfully predicts tunneling probabilities but leaves the physical mechanism conceptually unresolved, CUWF has reinterpreted tunneling as a structured transition in which continuity belongs to the underlying wave, while discreteness belongs only to the collapse node. On this basis, the paradox of a particle passing through a classically forbidden region is replaced by a physically intelligible three-phase mechanism: node destabilization at the entropic ascent, wave-only continuity across the barrier region, and node re-instantiation at the next admissible entropic minimum beyond the barrier.

The central conceptual shift of the paper is therefore clear. A particle is not a permanently existing object that travels through the barrier interior. It is a collapse node that can exist only where the structural entropy field provides a local minimum. A barrier, correspondingly, is not a literal wall to be penetrated by a persistent particle, but a region of elevated structural entropy and negative entropic curvature in which node stability becomes impossible. What survives the barrier is not the node, but the continuous wave defined on the Fundamental Wave Basin (FWB). In this way, tunneling becomes a problem of admissible collapse geometry rather than forbidden mechanical passage.

The formal structure developed in the paper has reinforced this reinterpretation. Structural entropy was introduced as the governing variable of tunneling, node stability was defined in terms of local entropic minima, barriers were identified as resonance-induced entropic peaks, and post-barrier detection was shown to follow from re-instantiation at the next admissible minimum rather than from the transport of

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

an enduring particle. The introduction of Quasi-State Collapse (QSC) further clarified that node extinction need not be treated as a structureless discontinuity, but can instead be understood as a smooth transitional regime required by the differentiable entropic geometry of the wavefield. This allows the full tunneling sequence to be written more precisely as N_A ⟶ QSC ⟶ ∅ ⟶ wave-only continuity ⟶ N_B, which captures the local logic of collapse loss, wave persistence, and renewed collapse beyond the barrier.

The CUWF account also resolves several longstanding conceptual problems associated with tunneling. It explains why no stable particle is detected inside the barrier, because the entropic curvature there cannot support a collapse node. It explains why tunneling time appears paradoxical, because the standard picture incorrectly treats tunneling as node transport rather than wave continuity. It explains why post-barrier particle-like events can preserve momentum structure, because momentum belongs to the continuous wave rather than to a persisting localized object. And it explains why the barrier interior can sustain phase continuity without allowing ordinary particle-level detection or node-based interference. Together, these results show that the most puzzling features of tunneling arise not because nature behaves irrationally, but because the ontology of the standard picture is incomplete.

The framework developed here also extends beyond the single-particle case. By generalizing collapse-node dynamics to collective entropic minima in configuration space, Paper A-6 has shown how pair tunneling, entanglement-enhanced tunneling, and many-body tunneling can be reinterpreted within the same deterministic mechanism. In these cases, what tunnels is not a set of independently traveling particles, but a collective collapse structure supported by the composite wave. This extension strengthens the CUWF proposal by demonstrating that the theory is not a narrow reinterpretation of one anomaly, but a broader geometrical-entropic framework capable of describing tunneling across both single-body and many-body regimes.

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

Equally important, the CUWF account makes experimentally vulnerable claims. It predicts zero stable particle detection inside the barrier, wave-only phase continuity through the barrier region, no meaningful node traversal time in the classical sense, post-barrier momentum recovery through wave continuity, and the absence of node-based interference formation within the barrier interior. These are not merely philosophical distinctions from standard quantum mechanics. They are physically meaningful differences that can in principle be tested through barrier-interior detection experiments, ultrafast phase-delay mapping, post-barrier momentum spectroscopy, and future time-resolved studies of node reappearance. In this sense, Paper A-6 does not close the discussion of tunneling by interpretation alone; it opens a path toward possible empirical discrimination.

Within the wider CUWF program, the significance of this paper is architectural as well as local. Paper A-6 demonstrates that one of the most puzzling quantum phenomena can be reconstructed without invoking persistent particles, stochastic barrier penetration, or conceptually opaque traversal language. More broadly, it reinforces the central CUWF principle that physical phenomena are best understood as responses of a continuous wave to geometric and entropic conditions, with observable particles arising only as temporary collapse structures. In that sense, tunneling becomes a decisive case study in the CUWF ontology: it shows that when continuity is assigned to the wave and discreteness to the node, paradoxes that seemed fundamental can dissolve into intelligible structural transitions.

Paper A-6 therefore concludes with a precise claim: quantum tunneling is not the passage of a persistent particle through a forbidden wall. It is the deterministic restructuring of wave-supported collapse across an entropic barrier. Once this shift is made, the barrier becomes geometrically meaningful, the absence of stable interior detection becomes expected, tunneling-time paradoxes lose their force, and the phenomenon itself becomes physically intelligible within one continuous framework. In the CUWF series, this paper thus serves not only as a reinterpretation of tunneling, but as a deeper

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN

affirmation of the theory's broader claim that wave continuity, entropic geometry, and collapse-node dynamics together provide a coherent foundation for quantum and relativistic reality alike.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the conceptual development, mathematical refinement, and collaborative discussions that contributed to shaping the CUWF framework.

The author declares that this research was conducted independently and without external funding.

© 2025 CHAYUT TECHASAMRAN