

## Appendices — Mathematical Notes and Operator-Stability Reference for Paper C-3

These appendices provide a compact mathematical reference for Paper C-3. They collect the operator notation, adjoint conventions, spectral definitions, resolvent geometry, stability-cone criteria, and multi-node stability structures used throughout the paper. They are intended as a reader-facing reference and do not replace the detailed derivations in the main text.

### Appendix A — Operator Notation and Algebraic Conventions

Appendix A summarizes the primitive operator set and the algebraic conventions used in Paper C-3. The central feature of the CUWF operator system is that its operators are nonlinear, metric-dependent, and defined over the hybrid manifold  $C \times M_{DOF}$  rather than over a fixed Hilbert space.

#### A.1 Primitive Operator Set

Symbol	Definition	Role in CUWF Operator Algebra
A	$A \equiv \Delta_E$	Entropic Laplacian; curvature-smoothing sector.
B	$B \equiv  \nabla E ^2$	Nonlinear gradient-squared sector; slope sharpening and collapse-wall formation.

$C$	$C \equiv D\_DOF$	DOF-manifold derivative; fiber drift and internal-degree deformation.
$\mathcal{G}$	$\mathcal{G} = \{A, B, C\}$	Minimal generating set of the CUWF operator algebra.
$\mathcal{E}_E$	$\mathcal{E}_E = A - \alpha B + \beta C$	CUWF Master Operator governing entropic operator flow.

### A.2 Operator Composition

$$(X \circ Y)(f) = X(Y(f))$$

Composition is associative at the formal level but generally non-distributive because A and B depend on the entropic metric  $g_E$ , derivatives of E, and nonlinear gradient structure. Consequently, the CUWF algebra is not a Lie algebra and not a  $C^*$ -algebra.

$$X \circ (Y \circ Z) = (X \circ Y) \circ Z$$

$$A \circ (B + C) \neq A \circ B + A \circ C$$

### A.3 Commutator and Anti-Commutator

$$[X, Y]_{-}^* = X \circ Y - Y \circ X$$

$$\{X, Y\} = X \circ Y + Y \circ X$$

- $[A, B]_{-}^* \neq 0$ : curvature diffusion and slope sharpening do not commute.
- $[A, C]_{-}^* \neq 0$ : configuration-space curvature and DOF-fiber drift do not commute.
- $[B, C]_{-}^* \neq 0$ : gradient sharpening depends on DOF deformation.
- $[\mathcal{C}_{E,A}]_{-}^*$ ,  $[\mathcal{C}_{E,B}]_{-}^*$ , and  $[\mathcal{C}_{E,C}]_{-}^*$  generate operator evolution and spectral deformation.

### A.4 Composite Curvature Operator

$$K_E = A^2 - A \circ B + B \circ A$$

$K_E$  collects the higher-order interaction between entropic curvature flow and nonlinear gradient sharpening. It is useful when describing rigidity, collapse-wall formation, and higher-order curvature response.

### A.5 Nonlinear Entropic Operator Tower (NEOT)

$$T_0 = I$$

$$T_1 = A - \alpha B + \beta C = \mathcal{C}_E$$

$$T_{n+1} = A(T_n) - \alpha B(T_n) + \beta C(T_n)$$

NEOT describes repeated nonlinear application of the CUWF Master Operator. It is the natural tower of higher-order entropic evolution terms and provides the basis for future nonlinear quantization and higher-order stability analysis.

## Appendix B — Adjoint and Inner Product Conventions

Appendix B collects the inner-product and adjoint conventions needed for Sections O-3 through O-6. Because  $g_E$  depends on  $E$ , all adjoints in CUWF are state-dependent and can change as the entropic field evolves.

### B.1 Entropic-Metric Inner Product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\{g_E\}} = \int f g \sqrt{|g_E|} dx$$

When DOF-fiber coordinates are included, the measure may be extended to the hybrid manifold  $C \times M_{\text{DOF}}$ :

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\{g_E\}} = \int_C \int_{\{M_{\text{DOF}}\}} f g \sqrt{|g_E|} \sqrt{|h|} dx dq$$

### B.2 Definition of the Adjoint

$$\langle Xf, g \rangle_{\{g_E\}} = \langle f, X^\dagger g \rangle_{\{g_E\}}$$

The adjoint  $X^\dagger$  is not fixed once and for all. It depends on the entropic metric, and the metric depends on  $E$ ,  $\nabla E$ , and  $\text{Hess}(E)$ .

### B.3 Adjoint of Primitive Operators

Operator	Adjoint Relation	Meaning
$A = \Delta_E$	$A^\dagger = A$	Curvature diffusion is self-adjoint under the entropic metric.
$B =  \nabla E ^2$	$B^\dagger = B + Q_B$	Slope sharpening gains metric-variation correction terms.
$C = D_{DOF}$	$C^\dagger = -C + \Gamma_{DOF}$	DOF-fiber derivative is anti-Hermitian only in the flat-connection limit.
$\mathcal{E}_E$	$\mathcal{E}_{E^\dagger} = A - \alpha(B + Q_B) + \beta(-C + \Gamma_{DOF})$	The Master Operator is intrinsically mixed-adjoint.

### B.4 Non-Normality

$$L L^\dagger \neq L^\dagger L$$

Non-normality is central to Paper C-3. It allows transient amplification, pseudo-spectral expansion, metastability, tunneling readiness, and quantum-like activation even when the ordinary spectrum appears stable.

### B.5 Multi-Node Adjoint Blocks

$$A_{\{ij\}}^\dagger = A_{\{ji\}}$$

Cross-curvature blocks can be adjoint-symmetric even when the full operator algebra remains non-Hermitian. This property underlies deterministic entanglement as cross-node curvature alignment.

## Appendix C — Spectrum, Pseudo-Spectrum, and Resolvent Reference

Appendix C summarizes the spectral tools used in Paper C-3. CUWF requires nonlinear spectral theory because the operator algebra is nonlinear, metric-dependent, and non-normal.

### C.1 Nonlinear Entropic Spectrum

$$X(f) = \lambda(f) f$$

$$\sigma_E(X) = \{ \lambda(f) : X(f) = \lambda(f) f \}$$

The entropic eigenvalue  $\lambda(f)$  is a functional rather than a fixed scalar. It depends on the entropic field, the metric, and the eigenfunction itself.

### C.2 CUWF Master-Operator Eigenvalue Relation

$$\mathfrak{E}_{E(f)} = \lambda(f) f$$

$$A(f) - \alpha |\nabla E|^2 f + \beta D_{DOF}(f) = \lambda(f) f$$

A Rayleigh-like expression for  $\lambda(f)$  may be written using the entropic inner product:

$$\lambda(f) = \langle A(f), f \rangle / \langle f, f \rangle - \alpha \langle |\nabla E|^2 f, f \rangle / \langle f, f \rangle + \beta \langle D_{\text{DOF}}(f), f \rangle / \langle f, f \rangle$$

### C.3 Linearized Operator

$$L_{\{E_0\}} = (d/d\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathfrak{G}_{-E}[E_0 + \varepsilon \delta E]$$

$L_{\{E_0\}}$  describes the first-order response of the CUWF Master Operator near a background entropic field  $E_0$ . It is the operator used in pseudo-spectral stability analysis.

### C.4 CUWF Pseudo-Spectrum

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}(L_{\{E_0\}}) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \|(L_{\{E_0\}} - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\{g_{-E}\}} > \varepsilon^{-1} \}$$

$$\lambda \in \sigma_{\varepsilon}(L_{\{E_0\}}) \Leftrightarrow \|(L_{\{E_0\}} - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\{g_{-E}\}} < \varepsilon, \quad \|\mathbf{1}\|_{\{g_{-E}\}} = 1$$

The pseudo-spectrum detects hidden instability and non-normal amplification that the ordinary spectrum cannot capture.

### C.5 Resolvent

$$R(\lambda; E_0) = (L_{\{E_0\}} - \lambda I)^{-1}$$

$$\partial \sigma_{\varepsilon}(L_{\{E_0\}}) : \|R(\lambda; E_0)\|_{\{g_{-E}\}} = \varepsilon^{-1}$$

The resolvent measures how strongly a perturbation is amplified near  $\lambda$ . Large resolvent norm indicates near-singularity, metastability, or tunneling readiness.

### C.6 Spectral Flow

$$d\lambda/d\tau = -\langle \delta\lambda \delta E, \mathfrak{G}_{-E}(E) \rangle + \langle \delta\lambda \delta f, \partial f / \partial \tau \rangle$$

This expression describes how the entropic spectrum changes as the field evolves under CUWF flow.

## Appendix D — Entropic Sensitivity Tensor and Stability Cone

Appendix D collects the directional geometric objects used to translate pseudo-spectrum and resolvent growth into concrete tunneling paths, metastability directions, and quantum–classical boundary conditions.

### D.1 Entropic Sensitivity Tensor (EST)

$$S_{\{E_0\}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = R(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; E_0) \dagger R(\boldsymbol{\lambda}; E_0)$$

$S_{\{E_0\}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$  is positive semi-definite. Its eigenvalues give squared amplification factors, and its eigenvectors identify the soft directions in entropic geometry.

### D.2 Dominant Soft Direction

$$\mu_{\max}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sup_{\{u : \|u\|=1\}} \|R(\boldsymbol{\lambda})u\|_{\{g_E\}}^2$$

$$S_{\{E_0\}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) v_{\text{soft}} = \mu_{\max} v_{\text{soft}}$$

The vector  $v_{\text{soft}}$  is the dominant soft direction. In CUWF, tunneling corresponds to motion along this direction when the pseudo-spectrum reaches the quantum-active boundary.

### D.3 EST Block Decomposition

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{xx} & S_{xq} \\ S_{qx} & S_{qq} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $S_{xx}$  describes soft modes in configuration space.
- $S_{qq}$  describes DOF-drift sensitivity.
- $S_{xq}$  and  $S_{qx}$  describe cross-coupling channels.
- Entanglement onset occurs when  $S_{xq}$  becomes comparable in magnitude to  $S_{xx}$ .

### D.4 Directional Entropic Curvature

$$K_E(v) = v^T (\nabla^2 E) v$$

Condition	CUWF Interpretation	Regime
$K_E(v) > 0$	Locally convex entropic curvature	Classical / stable
$K_E(v) = 0$	Flat soft direction	Metastable / marginal
$K_E(v) < 0$	Local concavity	Quantum-active / tunneling-ready

### D.5 Stability, Soft, and Quantum Cones

$$C_{\text{stable}}(E_0) = \{ v : K_E(v) > 0 \}$$

$$C_{\text{soft}}(E_0) = \{ v : K_E(v) = 0 \}$$

$$C_{\text{quantum}}(E_0) = \{ v : K_E(v) < 0 \}$$

These cones partition the tangent space of the entropic manifold into stable, marginal, and quantum-active directions.

### D.6 Quantum–Classical Boundary

$$\text{Classical regime} \Leftrightarrow \text{Re}(\sigma_{\epsilon}(L_{\{E_0\}})) < 0$$

$$\text{Quantum regime} \Leftrightarrow \exists \tilde{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\epsilon}(L_{\{E_0\}}) \text{ such that } \text{Re}(\tilde{\lambda}) \geq 0$$

$$\partial_{\text{QC}}(E_0) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \|(L_{\{E_0\}} - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{\{g_E\}} = \epsilon^{-1} \}$$

The quantum–classical boundary is a geometric boundary in operator space, not an energy threshold or probabilistic postulate.

## Appendix E — Multi-Node Stability and Collective Modes

Appendix E summarizes the multi-node extension of Paper C-3. In CUWF, entanglement and collective tunneling arise from block-operator geometry rather than Hilbert-space tensor products.

### E.1 Multi-Node Hybrid Manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_n = (\mathbb{C} \times M_{\text{DOF}})^n$$

Each collapse-node perturbs the entropic metric and contributes to the global curvature structure. Therefore, stability is a network property rather than a purely local property.

### E.2 Block Hessian

$$H(E) = [ H_{11} \ H_{12} \ \cdots \ H_{1n}; H_{21} \ H_{22} \ \cdots \ H_{2n}; \vdots \ \vdots \ \ddots \ \vdots; H_{n1} \ H_{n2} \ \cdots \ H_{nn} ]$$

- $H_{ii}$  describes on-node curvature, slope terms, and DOF internal Hessian.
- $H_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$  describes cross-node coupling curvature, DOF-mediated interaction, and metric-induced deformation.
- $H_{ij} \neq 0$  indicates that node  $i$  and node  $j$  participate in shared entropic curvature.

### E.3 Multi-Node Pseudo-Spectrum

$$\sigma_\epsilon(\mathcal{L}) = \{ \lambda : \|(\mathcal{L} - \lambda I)^{-1}\|_{\{g_E\}} > \epsilon^{-1} \}$$

The multi-node pseudo-spectrum is generally larger than the union of single-node pseudo-spectra because coupling expands the resolvent norm and propagates soft directions across the network.

### E.4 Deterministic Entanglement Mode

$$H v_{\text{ent}} = \lambda_{\text{soft}} v_{\text{ent}}, v_{\text{ent}} \neq \text{localized}$$

A deterministic entanglement mode is a collective soft eigenmode with non-zero support across multiple nodes. No Hilbert-space tensor product or measurement postulate is required.

### E.5 Collective Tunneling Criterion

$$\lambda_{\min}(H) = 0$$

$$\min_{\{v \in \mathcal{T}\mathcal{M}_n, \|v\|=1\}} v^T H v = 0$$

Collective tunneling activates when the collective curvature minimum becomes zero or negative. This opens a network-wide tunneling corridor and synchronizes collapse-node drift.

## Appendix F — Quick Reference Table of CUWF Stability Regimes

Appendix F provides a compact reference table for the stability regimes used throughout Paper C-3.

Regime	Mathematical Condition	CUWF Meaning	Primary Diagnostic
Classical stable	$\text{Re}(\sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(L_{E0})) < 0$ and $K_E(v) > 0$ for all relevant $v$	Entropic convexity; stable collapse-node flow	Ordinary spectrum and stability cone
Metastable	$\text{Re}(\sigma_{\mathbf{E}}(L_{E0})) \approx 0$ or $K_E(v) = 0$	Soft plateau; delayed transition; near-critical resolvent growth	Pseudo-spectrum boundary and EST

Quantum-active	$\exists \tilde{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\varepsilon}(L_{E0}), \text{Re}(\tilde{\lambda}) \geq 0$	Pseudo-spectral activation of a soft direction	Resolvent growth and $v_{\text{soft}}$
Tunneling-ready	$\min K_E(v) = 0$	Threshold of basin escape	Stability cone boundary
Tunneling activated	$\min K_E(v) < 0$ or $\mu_{\text{max}} \geq \varepsilon^{-2}$	Deterministic transition through a soft entropic corridor	EST and pseudo-spectrum crossing
Entangled	Cross-node soft modes align; $H_{ij} \neq 0$ ; $v_{\text{ent}}$ non-localized	Collective geometric correlation across nodes	Block Hessian and multi-node pseudo-spectrum
Collective instability	$\text{Re}(\sigma_{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{L}_{E0})) \geq 0$	Network-wide activation or synchronized collapse	Block resolvent

### Final Note on the Role of These Appendices

The appendices are intentionally compact. Their purpose is to give readers a fast reference for the mathematical objects that recur throughout Paper C-3. Detailed derivations remain in the corresponding main sections O-0 through O-6, while these appendices serve as a stable notation and interpretation layer for future C-series papers.